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Introduction

The benefits of health information technology (HIT) for improving health, health care, public health, and biomedical research are well-known. Several recent systematic reviews have documented the evidence in favor of information technology (IT) interventions [1], clinical decision support [2], and telemedicine [3]. This has led to programs for widespread adoption in places such as England [4] and Canada [5], and calls for increased use in others, such as the United States (US) [6, 7]. There are also opportunities in other areas of biomedical informatics, such as clinical research informatics [8, 9] and bioinformatics [10], although there is less research explicitly documenting its value.

Other research, however, demonstrates a low rate of adoption of HIT, especially in the US [11], where most of the evaluative research has been done. As such, the documented benefits are limited to small numbers of institutions. In the HIT intervention systematic review cited above, for example, 25% of the 257 included studies came from four institutions and only nine of the studies evaluated commercial systems [1]. The barriers to HIT in clinical settings have been well-described, and include mismatch of return on investment between those who pay and those who benefit, challenges to workflow in clinical settings, lack of standards and interoperability, and concerns about privacy and confidentiality [12, 13]. Another barrier, lesser studied and quantified but increasingly recognized, is the lack of characterization of the workforce and its training needed to most effectively implement HIT systems [14-16]. The value of a competent workforce can be demonstrated by a "case report" of one study reporting negative findings with computerized provider order entry (CPOE). In late 2005, a paper was published that reported an increased mortality rate after implementation of CPOE in the pediatric intensive care unit (ICU) of Children’s Hospital of Pittsburgh [17]. While the study had some methodological problems, further investigation of their approach demonstrated that their CPOE implementation failed to adhere to known best practices [18, 19]. In particular, centralization of the pharmacy, installing the system without adequate network and computational resources, and not allowing order entry prior to the patient arriving at the ICU were mistakes that those familiar with known best practices would avoid. Indeed, several other pediatric hospitals looked at their own data and failed to find increased mortality after CPOE was implemented [20-23].

The methods of this report used a framework to define the problem and organized the results based on the research...
discovered. Such research was obtained through searching MEDLINE and the World Wide Web as well as tracing references in articles and reports about the HIT workforce. A query was also made to the email list of the IMIA Working Group on Education, particularly asking for data from outside the US and Europe.

Statement of the Problem

As noted by this author previously, data characterizing the HIT workforce is incomplete at best [15]. Yet a variety of studies and communications support the notion that the workforce is important in the successful implementation of HIT. Ash et al. have characterized the important role of organizational behavior, and in particular the "special people" within them, for success of CPOE [24]. Recent news reports in the US quote leaders of HIT projects lauding the skill of those within them, for success of CPOE [24]. Recent news reports in the US quote leaders of HIT projects lauding the skill of those within them, for success of CPOE [24].

There is a growing recognition that the well-trained HIT professional should have knowledge not only of information technology, but also health care, business and management, and other disciplines. A survey of 91 health care chief information officers (CIOs) found 88% in agreement that understanding of health care environment is essential to IT practice in health care settings [22]. Sable et al. surveyed health system managers and found a preference for those with clinical experience, understanding of health care, strong communication skills, and ability to work across boundaries within organizations [33].

Another aspect of the problem is that we have little data that characterizes the HIT workforce and, in particular, how it is best trained and deployed for optimal use of the technology. It is traditional in most hospitals and other health care settings to think separately of IT professionals, whom are mostly viewed as technologists, and HIM professionals, who are mostly viewed as maintaining the (usually paper) medical record. This view not only creates artificial distinctions, but also ignores the role that others play in HIT, in particular clinicians who gravitate into such roles.

An additional challenge is that there is no succinct definition of the field of health and biomedical informatics. Furthermore, the field has difficulty agreeing on the adjective in front of the word informatics (i.e., medical vs. biomedical) as well as whether a practitioner should be called an informaticist or informatician (this paper uses the latter). We also do not know where pure IT ends and informatics begins [15].

For example, the individual who installs applications on a desktop computer in a hospital probably does not need formal training in informatics, although the CIO and his or her project leads certainly do. There is also lack of knowledge of the profession by those who advise undergraduates in areas such as biology, in that career opportunities in the field are scarcely mentioned [34]. This has led to calls for health informatics to become a professional discipline [35] and for it to acquire the attributes of a profession, such as a well-defined set of competencies, certification of fitness to practice, shared professional identify, life-long commitment, and a code of ethics [36].

Also a part of the problem is that informatics is not represented in standard occupational classifications, which results in the field not being represented in some types of workforce analyses. In the US, the Standard Occupational Classification (SOC) has codes for Health Diagnosing and Treating Practitioners (29-1000), Medical Records and Health Information Technicians (29-2070), and Computer Specialists (15-1000), but nothing that combines these elements of what informaticians do into a single code [37]. These codes are updated each decade, and it will be imperative for informatics to have such a code in the next revision of the SOC. The same holds for the International Standard Classification of Occupations (ISCO, http://www.ilo.org/public/english/bureau/stat/isco/), which also has no codes for informatics [39].

What Do We Know About the HIT Workforce?

There is some data available about those who work in HIT settings, but the data tend to be incomplete. Even further, the focus of any one study tends to fall on one category of the workforce (such as IT or HIM staff) or one application (such as health information exchange). As such, there are no comprehensive assessments of the entire spectrum of those who develop, implement, evaluate, and play other roles with providing support for the use of information in health care, biomedical research, and related areas. There is also little data about those who work in the HIT industry, e.g., for vendors of HIT systems. Nonetheless, there are substantial career opportunities [40].
Probably the most comprehensive assessment of the HIT workforce has been carried out in England [41]. An assessment of the English HIT workforce estimated the employment of 25,000 full-time equivalents (FTEs) out of 1.3 million workers in National Health Service (NHS). This equated to the employment of about one IT staff per 52 non-IT workers. The workers were found to be distributed among information and communication technology staff (37%), health records staff (26%), information management staff (18%), knowledge management staff (9%), senior managers (7%), and clinical informatics staff (3%). This survey also uncovered other issues raised by professionals in the field, such as retention problems attributed mainly to non-competitive pay, an anticipation of future skills shortages, and strong support for establishment of a formal informatics profession.

Studies done in the US have focused on one group in the workforce, such as IT or HIM professionals. Gartner Research assessed IT staff in integrated delivery systems of varying size [42]. Among 85 such organizations studied, there was a consistent finding of about one IT staff per 56 non-IT employees, which was similar to the ratio noted above in England. The major roles for IT staff were listed as programmer/analyst (51%), support (28%), telecommunications (16%).

Another view of IT staff can be gleaned from the HIMSS Analytics™ Database (formerly the Dorenfest IDHS+ Database™). This database contains self-reported data from 33,641 health care entities in the US. Of these entities, 5,054 are hospitals. The data are not complete, and only 2,164 hospitals report IT staff numbers. Even fewer report the distribution of IT staff, and not all who report IT staff also report overall hospital full-time equivalent (FTE) or physician staff numbers. Figure 1 shows the distribution of general job descriptions for the 1,461 hospitals that report such data. Table 1 shows descriptive statistics for total hospital staff FTE, number of beds, and number of hospital physicians per IT FTE. The median value of 68 overall hospital staff per IT staff is relatively consistent with the NHS and Gartner data reported above. The number of physicians employed and/or with staff privileges in US hospitals varies, as does their actual use of the hospital for their patients, so the rightmost column should be interpreted with caution.

Another large-scale study has assessed HIM professionals in the US, finding that the primary work setting for these individuals was hospital inpatient (53.4%), hospital outpatient (7.8%), physician office/clinic (7.2%), and consulting firm (4.2%) [43]. For those involved in EHR implementation, two-thirds were on the planning team and half were on implementation team. Study respondents indicated that the largest need for more education was in areas of IT, legal and regulatory issues, reimbursement methodologies, and healthcare information systems. The US Bureau of Labor Statistics has found that job growth for HIM professionals is likely to be high. The most recent projections, for the years 2006-2016, estimate that for those in the SOC of Medical Records and Health Information Technicians, about 170,000 are employed now, which will increase to 200,000 by 2016, for a growth rate of 17.8% [44]. There is an estimated need of 76,000 more who must be employed for growth and replacements of those leaving the workforce. Even in a me-
Some workforce studies have focused on the needs with regards to specific HIT applications. For example, a recent analysis looked at the workforce estimated to deploy a Nationwide Health Information Network (NHIN) in the US. [46]. For a five-year implementation time frame, there was an estimated need for 7,600 FTE for installation of EHRs for 400,000 practicing physicians who do not currently have them, 28,600 FTE for the 4,000 hospitals that do not have EHRs, and 420 FTE to implement the infrastructure to connect the network. Table 2 lists the types of personnel needed to implement the NHIN.

Table 2: Types of IT and informatics personnel needed to implement the US Nationwide Health Information Network (NHIN). (Source: [46])

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Manager</th>
<th>Implementation Coordinator</th>
<th>IT Interface Builder</th>
<th>Change Management Specialist</th>
<th>Desktop Specialist</th>
<th>Database Administrator</th>
<th>Network Engineer</th>
<th>Records Management Specialist</th>
<th>Quality Assurance Specialist</th>
<th>Privacy Officer</th>
<th>Security Officer</th>
<th>Technical Analyst</th>
<th>Trainer</th>
<th>Help Desk Specialist</th>
<th>Chief Medical Information Officer (CMIO)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

These data clearly paint a picture of a substantial number of employment opportunities in HIT in the US and England. Likewise, the Head of Canada Infoway project quotes figures from the Conference Board of Canada that estimate creation of 37,000 jobs by full implementation of the Canada Infoway Project [5].

Additional data show that HIT provides well-paying jobs. The 2006 HIMSS Compensation Survey is another self-reported survey [47]. The most recent report of this survey of 1,347 HIMSS members from the US found that the average and median annual salaries were $102,841 and $90,000 respectively. Salaries were highest in consulting firms, vendor firms, health insurance firms, and larger health care delivery systems. Another assessment of US HIT salaries done in 2007 reported on 417 individuals in a variety of health care delivery organizations (hospital and non-hospital), health plans, and IT companies [48]. Median salaries for "C"-level positions (e.g., CIO, CMIO, COO, etc.) were around $100,000 annually. Median salaries for non-"C"-level positions varied by hospital size, clinical background, and level of authority, but were above $100,000 annually for many.

The American Health Information Management Association (AHIMA) also tracks salary data for HIM professionals [49]. Although the salaries are not as high as for IT staff, with a national average of $55,676 annually, there is a large range of salary levels based on job responsibilities, geographical location, and other factors.

This economic outlook is not limited to the US. In an assessment of the first 1024 graduates in medical informatics from the University of Heidelberg and Heilbronn (before March, 2001), it was found that 57% had an annual salary above €50,000 [50].

What Do We Know About Informaticians?

We know even less about those who are called informaticians. These individuals do have a highly diverse array of job backgrounds, titles, and descriptions [51]. Some have backgrounds as health care professionals, while others bring backgrounds in computer science, other life sciences, and many other disciplines. Indeed, on its Web site, the American Medical Informatics Association (AMIA, www.amia.org) notes that its members consist of physicians, nurses, dentists, pharmacists, and other clinicians; HIT professionals; computer and information scientists; biomedical engineers; consultants and industry representatives; medical librarians; and academic researchers and educators.

Hoffman and Ash surveyed potential employers of informatics graduates, asking them to rate most important skills they desired in graduates [52]. They found that the most important skills desired went well beyond technology and included knowledge of clinical information, interpersonal skills, change management, relational databases, and project management.

Likewise, the Knaup et al. survey of University of Heidelberg and Heilbronn graduates found that graduates were employed in many types of organizations, such as [50]: hardware/software company (33%), other company in industry (19%), academic medical center (13%), self-employed (6%), and non-academic hospital (5%). These graduates reported that the most important topics for their work from their studies included database and information systems, software development/engineering, informatics, economics, and information systems in the health care environment.
Another survey of informatics graduates assessed the biomedical informatics program at the University of Utah [53]. This survey of 209 graduates from the first 35 years of the program found that the most common types of jobs held included operational informatics (67%), academic (18%), medical practice (16%), management (14%), and research (12%). These graduates were most likely to be employed in industry (37%), integrated health care delivery systems (27%), and educational institutions (23%). How many informaticians do we need? There is no research to quantify this, although a variety of leaders have called for certain numbers of them. In the US, AMIA leaders Drs. Charles Safran and Don Detmer have advocated that there should be at least one physician and one nurse trained in medical informatics in each of the 6,000 hospitals in the US [54]. Likewise, Dr. Charles Friedman, Deputy Director of the Office of the National Coordinator for Health IT has called for 1,000 public health informaticians to be trained based on estimates needed in federal, state, and local public health organizations (Keynote Address, Public Health Information Network, August 26, 2007).

Even if we do not have a quantitative handle on the medical informatics workforce, we can define a framework of informatics practice. Table 4 shows an adaptation of a framework originally developed by Covvey et al. used to define job and role competencies [51]. Their original categories included academic/research and applied/professional practitioners (along with the clinical and biomedical research practitioners, whom we omit from this discussion that is focused on the HIT workforce). An additional category of practice added to the framework, seen increasingly in clinical settings, is the local liaison who provides a bridge between the IT staff and clinical users and who represents the user community. How many informaticians do we need? There is no research to quantify this, although a variety of leaders have called for certain numbers of them. In the US, AMIA leaders Drs. Charles Safran and Don Detmer have advocated that there should be at least one physician and one nurse trained in medical informatics in each of the 6,000 hospitals in the US [54]. Likewise, Dr. Charles Friedman, Deputy Director of the Office of the National Coordinator for Health IT has called for 1,000 public health informaticians to be trained based on estimates needed in federal, state, and local public health organizations (Keynote Address, Public Health Information Network, August 26, 2007).

Even if we do not have a quantitative handle on the medical informatics workforce, we can define a framework of informatics practice. Table 4 shows an adaptation of a framework originally developed by Covvey et al. used to define job and role competencies [51]. Their original categories included academic/research and applied/professional practitioners (along with the clinical and biomedical research practitioners, whom we omit from this discussion that is focused on the HIT workforce). An additional category of practice added to the framework, seen increasingly in clinical settings, is the local liaison who provides a bridge between the IT staff and clinical users and who represents the user community.

### Table 3 Categories of informatics practice, adapted from [51]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of Practice</th>
<th>Type of Work</th>
<th>Example Job Titles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Academic</strong></td>
<td>Individual who does research and/or teaching in an academic center</td>
<td>- Professor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Applied</strong></td>
<td>Individual who works in an operational informatics setting for a majority of his or her working time</td>
<td>- Chief Information Officer - Chief Medical or Nursing Information Officer - Project Manager - Developer - Trainer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liaison</strong></td>
<td>Individual who spends part of his or her working time as a local expert and interface with informatics or information technology professionals</td>
<td>- Chief Medical or Nursing Information Officer - Clinical IT Liaison</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### What Do We Know About Informatics Leaders?

We know even less about those who are informatics leaders. One survey of member of the Association of Medical Directors of Information Systems (AMDIS) in the US found that of the 82 members who replied, few had formal training in informatics and nearly all of them valued of managerial and clinical over technical skills [55]. Another analysis looked in depth at five Chief Medical Information Officers (CMIOs) [56], finding that the skills they reported to be most important in carrying out their jobs were leadership, communication, and consensus-building. They all expressed a desire to be part of senior physician executive team and did not want to be see as just "techie" doctors.

Another survey of CMIOs was carried out by Gartner and AMDIS [57]. Of the 47 respondents, 70% were employed in integrated delivery organizations. About 38% worked as CMIO full-time, another 25% at >75% time, and the remainder for less. The majority of CMIOs reported to CIO and 60% had no one reporting to them. Three-quarters of them still saw patients part-time and believed it was important for CMIOs to do so. Their top priority was to gain value from investment in clinical information systems. Among the factors they reported as being required for their success were executive-level support and strategic commitment of the organization to IT. The most significant obstacles they reported in their jobs were organizational inertia and physician resistance.

Clearly we need to learn more about informatics professionals and leaders. In many organizations, their role is not well-defined [58]. Of note in the Gartner and AMDIS report is that CMIOs tend not to have reporting relationships to leaders at the highest levels of their organizations, and that they often have no one reporting to them, questioning how much authority they wield in the organizations.

### What is the Optimal Education of the HIT Workforce?

Just as workforce is one barrier to optimal use of HIT, there are also barriers...
to educating that workforce. In addition to the problems of unknown needs cited above, their evolving professional status, and a lack of known best practices for their optimal deployment, we also do not have a clear view of the ideal levels of education and most important competencies to teach such individuals. In recognition of the need to promote awareness of the workforce challenges and increase visibility needed, AMIA and AHIMA held a summit in late 2005 that was followed by a report [14]. The report noted the benefits of HIT would not accrue to the US on a wide scale without a well-trained workforce to implement systems. The report advocated:

- Adopting the Institute of Medicine (IOM) "Quality Chasm" [59] vision
- Creating incentives to adopt "systems" that promote quality through use of HIT
- Establishing industry-wide advocacy for workforce training and development
- Building awareness of the need for workforce development
- Utilizing innovative learning environments to train the workforce
- Developing formal educational programs and promoting their value
- Disseminating tools and best practices for these new professionals to succeed

The optimal education of the HIT workforce is another gap in our knowledge. Not only do we lack good characterization of the workforce as described above, but we cannot even begin to understand its ideal educational criteria. Despite that, informatics educational programs are growing in size and stature. There are comprehensive Web sites that list and link to such programs internationally (http://www.hiww.org/) and in the US (http://www.amia.org/informatics/acad&training/).

These sites demonstrate there are many models for such training. For example, training in the US, UK, and Canada tends to focus at the graduate level (with some notable exceptions), whereas there are many baccalaureate programs in Germany. Likewise, there is a growing use of distance learning in many programs. Many national medical informatics societies address educational issues for their members and students, and the International Medical Informatics Association (IMIA) has a Working Group on Education devoted to worldwide issues (http://imiawged.pbwiki.com/ FrontPage).

Based on the needs in the US advocated by Safran and Detmer quoted above [54], AMIA launched the 10x10 program, which aims to train 10,000 health care professionals (clinicians and others) in biomedical informatics by the year 2010 [62]. As of early 2008, the program had provided an in-depth introductory course in biomedical informatics to about 400 individuals. Of course, a single course is not enough to educate a full-scope professional in informatics, but about 15% of those completing the 10x10 program have gone on for further study (unpublished data, Oregon Health & Science University).

Conclusions

These are exciting times for HIT, with its demonstrated value in improving health, health care, public health, and biomedical research and as a pathway to a fulfilling and rewarding career. Clearly there are many job opportunities, with several different studies showing the need for one IT or informatics staff per 50-60 non-informatics staff in a variety of organizations. Such jobs tend to pay well and offer opportunity for career advancement and satisfaction. Indeed, one US newsmagazine recently listed informatics among ten "ahead of the curve" careers [63]. Yet both health care leaders and informatics leaders need more information upon which to base implementation of systems, optimal deployment of the workforce, and the best educational options for the workforce. There is a need for more research to better characterize the workforce of those who develop, implement, and evaluate HIT systems. This will then better inform the development of optimal competencies and curricula for their most effective education and training. Workforce research must go beyond the narrow focus of single groups (e.g., IT staff, HIM professionals, or clinicians) or applications (e.g., EHRs or health information exchanges). Instead, it must focus on the larger picture of all involved in supporting the use of information to improve human health. More effort should also be devoted to establishing occupational coding classifications for informatics jobs and promoting the profession to attract those with passion and competence for it. Additional work must focus on other areas of health and biomedical informatics (such as clinical research informatics, public health informatics, and bioinformatics) as well as other areas of the world (especially outside the US and Europe).
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