Design Considerations for a Distributed as By this method, it was found that area 17 projects to that part of the su occupied by the more medial of the two callosal inputs. By contrast, the part occupied by the more lateral callosal input was found to receive a strong proj visual complex, an area rich in colour-coded cells. Recordings were made fro superior temporal sulcus in animals in which the corpus callosum had been to

Kyle H. Ambert, PhD Intel Graph Analytics Operation May 23rd, 2014

In the rhesus monkey, the posterior bank of the superior temporal sulcus for prestriate visual cortex and has two regions, a medial one and a lateral one, v separate callosal connections. The afferent input to these two regions was stud where the corpus callosum was sectioned, and labelled amino acids were inje occupied by the more lateral callosal input was found to receive a strong provisual complex, an area rich in colour-coded cells. Recordings were made fro superior temporal sulcus in animals in which the corpus callosum had been The degeneration produced by this procedure was used to provide anatomic us to assign cells to the lateral or the medial regions of the sulcus. Such recor receptive fields were topographically organized in the lateral part of the sulcu were colour specific. By contrast, cells recorded from in the region of the mo patch within this sulcus were directionally selective, without any obvious col concluded from these combined anatomico-physiological experiments that th distinct regions in the superior temporal sulcus which have different afferent functional properties. Both anterograde and retrograde transport tracing met study the organization of the projections of the dorsal lateral geniculate (DL pulvinar and subdivisions of the lateral pulvinar to primary visual cortex (str The D L G projects only to striate cortex. These projections are retinotopical not extend to any cortical layers above layer IVA. In contrast the inferior pul immediately adjacent portion of the lateral pulvinar (PL-x48) project to both cortex. The projections from these two thalamic areas to the striate cortex are organized and exist in parallel with those from the DLG. In contrast to the I from P1 and PLot terminate above layer IVA in striate cortex, i.e. layers I, 11 cortex the layers of termination include layers IV, III and I. The pulvinar ter and III of are 17 occur in segregated patches as do the geniculate terminatio IVA. On the other hand the pulvinar terminations in layer I which overlie the 111 of area 17 appeared to be continuous. Control studies show that the rema pulvinar overlying PL(~ does not project to striate cortex. It is concluded that visuotopically organized inputs from the lateral thalamus to primary visual of these inputs have different layers of termination. The inputs from PI and DI retinal inputs while those from Pl and PLcL can also be involved in intrinsi thalamocortical connections with prestriate cortex. It remains, then, that it ca assumed that the ascending inputs which influence the response properties o neurons arise solely from the dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus. It is also argue the supragranular layers may be excitatory as those from the DLG to the IVt and retrograde transport methods were used to study the corticocortical conn 3b, 1, 2, 5, 4 and 6 of the monkey cerebral cortex. Fields were identified by features and by thalamic connectivity in the same brains. Area 3a was identif short latency group I afferent evoked potential. Attempts were made to analy (1) routes whereby somatic sensory input might influence the performance of neurons; (2) possible multiple representations of the body surface in the com first somatic sensory area (SI). Apart from vertical interlaminar connections, t intracortical connectivity are recognized. The first, regarded as "non-specific,

About me.



Graphs in the Biological Sciences



Graphs



Graphs as Data Models



Some Open Questions in Distributed Graph

[1] Distributed computing framework

- [2] Graph abstraction
- [3] Building a useable toolkit



Distributed Frameworks & Tools

-- MPI -- MapReduce/Hadoop -- Next generation





Distributed Frameworks: MPI!



➤ Messages can be...

- collective or peer-to-peer
 synchronous or asynchronous
- -blocking or non-blocking

Distributed Frameworks: MPI!

woo! —good if you're writing a parallel library —scalability, compatibility, and portability —mature and well-understood —can be quite fast

lame!
-no fault tolerance
-hard to learn
-most commonly implemented in FORTRAN, C, or C++

```
"Hello World" MPI Test Program
*/
#include <mpi.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>
#define BUFSIZE 128
#define TAG 0
int main(int argc, char *argv[])
  char idstr[32];
  char buff[BUFSIZE];
  int numprocs;
  int myid;
  int i;
  MPI Status stat;
  /* MPI programs start with MPI_Init; all 'N' processes exist thereafter */
  MPI Init(&argc,&argv);
  /* find out how big the SPMD world is */
  MPI Comm size(MPI COMM WORLD, &numprocs);
  /* and this processes' rank is */
  MPI Comm rank(MPI COMM WORLD, &myid);
  /* At this point, all programs are running equivalently, the rank
     distinguishes the roles of the programs in the SPMD model, with
     rank 0 often used specially ... */
  if(myid == 0)
    printf("%d: We have %d processors\n", myid, numprocs);
    for(i=1;i<numprocs;i++)</pre>
      sprintf(buff, "Hello %d! ", i);
      MPI_Send(buff, BUFSIZE, MPI_CHAR, i, TAG, MPI_COMM_WORLD);
    for(i=1;i<numprocs;i++)</pre>
      MPI_Recv(buff, BUFSIZE, MPI_CHAR, i, TAG, MPI_COMM_WORLD, &stat);
      printf("%d: %s\n", myid, buff);
  else
    /* receive from rank 0: */
    MPI_Recv(buff, BUFSIZE, MPI_CHAR, 0, TAG, MPI_COMM_WORLD, &stat);
    sprintf(idstr, "Processor %d ", myid);
    strncat(buff, idstr, BUFSIZE-1);
    strncat(buff, "reporting for duty\n", BUFSIZE-1);
    /* send to rank 0: */
    MPI_Send(buff, BUFSIZE, MPI_CHAR, 0, TAG, MPI_COMM_WORLD);
  /* MPI programs end with MPI Finalize; this is a weak synchronization point */
  MPI Finalize();
  return 0;
```

3

Distributed Frameworks: MapReduce!



Distributed Frameworks: Everyone and their elephant has one





Distributed Frameworks: Sport





Spork: Some operations

val pets = sc.parallelize(List(("cat", 1), ("dog", 1), ("cat", 2))) pets.reduceByKey(_ + _) // => {(cat, 3), (dog, 1)} pets.groupByKey() // => {(cat, Seq(1, 2)), (dog, Seq(1)} pets.sortByKey() // => {(cat, 1), (cat, 2), (dog, 1)}



Log Mining Example!

val lines = spark.textFile("hdfs://test.txt")
val errors = lines.filter(_.startsWith("ERROR"))
val messages = errors.map(_.split('\t')(2))
messages.cache()

messages.filter(_.contains("hadoop")).count
messages.filter(_.contains("graphbuilder")).count

scales to 1TB data in 5-7s! (170s for on-disk data)

Some Open Questions in Distributed Graph [1] Distributed computing framework [2] Graph abstraction [3] Building a useable toolkit

orgnet.com/alters2.gif

Graph Abstractions

Not all problems are MapReducible!

- Expressive for graphs, to prevent needing to solve the same challenges over and over.
 - Abstractions save us time and allows us to exploit the structure of our data!

-GAS -BSP



<u>byperallergic.wpengine.netdna-cdn.com</u>

Graph Abstractions: Bulk synchronous parallel



Graph Abstractions: Gather, apply, scatter

- - Based on BSP, but each vertex is decomposed into three phases



Some Open Questions in Distributed Graph [1] Distributed computing framework [2] Graph abstraction [3] Building a useable toolkit

orgnet.com/alters2.gif

Overview of the Graph Analytics Pipeline



Insightful Result

A Motivating Example: Topic Modeling the Hard Way



- 161 full text neuroscience publications were acquired (*p* ∂f).
- The $p\partial f$ s were converted to plain text, and cleaned up.
- The data set was merged and reshaped to a tab-delimited file.

Python GraphLab

- A bipartite graph was created in static files
- LDA (α=1, β=5, n_{topics}=5) was run in using the GraphLab topic modeling toolkit

A Motivating Example: Topic Modeling the Hard Way

file://localhost/Users/khambert/hgWD/graphlab/Code/graphlab/toolkits/topic_modeling/http/index.html

WordCloud Visualizer



- Reasonably interesting, but follow-up experiments would be helpful
- So I need to re-write my software for the new usecase?



probably.

PMID

19368830

19368831

19368836-

19368837

19608895

19609725

Overview of the Graph Analytics Pipeline



Correct Dataset Mistake

http://imgs.xkcd.com/comics/self_description.png

Graph Analytics Pipeline

What if there was a re-useable framework for graph analytics?



What components would we include?



Hall et al., 2009; Ambert & Cohen, [2013, 2012, 2011]

Goal:

Obtain/extract data, convert it into a machine-readable, semi-structured format, \mathcal{S} do any necessary pre-processing.

An important step for getting your data into a format for scalable operations





Goal: Obtain/extract data, convert it into a machine-readable, semi-structured format, *&* do any necessary pre-processing.

— Python, et al.



- Data scientists tend to be scripting experts
- Domain expertise leads to effective script development
- This is not likely to change, and a selfcontained software pipeline should leverage this.

python

→ e.g., Python API for text-processing.





http://4vector.com/i/free-vector-pig-clip-art_119373_Pig_clip_art_hight.png





Building Graphs



Building Graphs



Building Graphs













BigTable (Google)

Hadoop

Optimized for reads

Optimized for reads, range-based scans

Easily scales horizontally

Pig integration



DynamoDB (Amazon)

Storm or Hadoop

Optimized for writes

Excellent single-row reads, selecting rows based on column-value index

Rows larger than 10's MB are problematic

Pig integration



Graph Analyses

Goal: Synthesize the graph data into statistics *&* conclusions.



GraphLab



ibm.com/developerworks/library/os-giraph/

Graph Analysis Pipeline

ibm.com/developerworks/library/os-giraph/

Graph Analysis Pipeline

Goal: Make graph analytics scalable and simple.

Approach	Algorithm	Category	Applications/Use Cases
Graph	Loopy Belief Propagation (LBP)	Structured Prediction	Personalized recs, image de-noising
	Label Propagation	Structured Prediction	Personalized recommendations
	Alternating Least Squares (ALS)	Collaborative Filtering	Recommenders
	Conjugate Gradient Descent (CGD)	Collaborative Filtering	Recommenders
	Connected Components	Graph Analytics	Network manipulation, image analysis
	Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA)	Topic Modeling	Document Clustering
	Structure Attribute	Clustering	Network analysis, consumer seg
	K-Truss	Clustering	Social network analysis
	KNN*	Clustering	Recommenders
	Logistic Regression*	Classification	Fraud detection
	Random Forest*	Classification	Fraud detection, consumer seg
	Generalized Linear Model (Binomial, Poisson)	Non-linear Curve Fitting	Forecasting, pricing, market mix models
	Association Rule Mining	Data Mining	Market basket analysis, recommenders
	Frequent Pattern Mining*	Data Mining	Pattern Recognition

Graph Building Graph Storage Graph Analysis

Data Extraction \mathcal{C}

Formatting

ibm.com/developerworks/library/os-giraph/

In the rhesus monkey, the posterior bank of the superior temporal sulcus for prestriate visual cortex and has two regions, a medial one and a lateral one, v separate callosal connections. The afferent input to these two regions was stud where the corpus callosum was sectioned, and labelled amino acids were inje areas. By this method, it was found that area 17 projects to that part of the su occupied by the more medial of the two callosal inputs. By contrast, the part occupied by the more lateral callosal input was found to receive a strong provisual complex, an area rich in colour-coded cells. Recordings were made fro superior temporal sulcus in animals in which the corpus callosum had been The degeneration produced by this procedure was used to provide anatomic us to assign cells to the lateral or the medial regions of the sulcus. Such recor receptive fields were topographically organized in the lateral part of the sulci were colour specific. By contrast, cells recorded from in the region of the mo patch within this sulcus were directionally selective, without any obvious col concluded from these combined anatomico-physiological experiments that th distinct regions in the superior temporal sulcus which have different afferent functional properties. Both anterograde and retrograde transport tracing met study the organization of the projections of the dorsal lateral geniculate (DL pulvinar and subdivisions of the lateral pulvinar to primary visual cortex (str The D L G projects only to striate cortex. These projections are retinotopical not extend to any cortical layers above layer IVA. In contrast the inferior pul immediately adjacent portion of the lateral pulvinar (PL-x48) project to both cortex. The projections from these two thalamic areas to the striate cortex are organized and exist in parallel with those from the DLG. In contrast to the I from P1 and PLot terminate above layer IVA in striate cortex, i.e. layers I, 11 cortex the layers of termination include layers IV, III and I. The pulvinar ter and III of are 17 occur in segregated patches as do the geniculate terminatio IVA. On the other hand the pulvinar terminations in layer I which overlie the 111 of area 17 appeared to be continuous. Control studies show that the rema pulvinar overlying PL(~ does not project to striate cortex. It is concluded that visuotopically organized inputs from the lateral thalamus to primary visual or these inputs have different layers of termination. The inputs from PI and DI retinal inputs while those from Pl and PLcL can also be involved in intrinsi thalamocortical connections with prestriate cortex. It remains, then, that it ca assumed that the ascending inputs which influence the response properties of neurons arise solely from the dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus. It is also argue the supragranular layers may be excitatory as those from the DLG to the IVth and retrograde transport methods were used to study the corticocortical conn 3b, 1, 2, 5, 4 and 6 of the monkey cerebral cortex. Fields were identified by features and by thalamic connectivity in the same brains. Area 3a was identif short latency group I afferent evoked potential. Attempts were made to analy (1) routes whereby somatic sensory input might influence the performance of neurons; (2) possible multiple representations of the body surface in the com first somatic sensory area (SI). Apart from vertical interlaminar connections, t intracortical connectivity are recognized. The first, regarded as "non-specific,